

NEUROSKEPTICISM IN THE FACE OF POP CULTURE

BY: Emily Selene Echeverria Aviles

Rice University

Abstract

Adolescence is a period of heightened emotional reactivity due to ongoing neural maturation in affective and regulatory circuits. Hare et al. (2008) examined this developmental imbalance by assessing amygdala–ventral prefrontal cortex (vPFC) connectivity during an emotional go/no-go task, identifying stronger vPFC–amygdala coupling as predictive of reduced anxiety and improved emotional regulation. This paper compares those findings with the *New York Times* article “Why Teenagers Act Crazy” (Friedman, 2014), which attempts to translate complex neuroscientific data into accessible public discourse. While the journalistic summary successfully conveys the general principles of adolescent emotional reactivity, it simplifies methodological nuances central to the study’s interpretation. Through this comparison, this paper explores how popular science communication may blur the boundaries between empirical evidence and cultural narrative, underscoring the importance of maintaining neuroscientific integrity in public understanding.

Introduction

A comparison of the *New York Times* article titled “Why Teenagers Act Crazy” and a research paper by Hare et al. (2008) demonstrates how pop culture will oftentimes exaggerate and oversimplify neuroscience research for their own profit.

Methods and Summary within Hare et al. (2008)

Hare et al. (2008) noted how “adolescence is a period of heightened emotional reactivity.” Previous research has shown how adolescents are especially at risk for a series of mental health issues, including suicide (Arnett, 1999). Ernst et al. (2005) also noted higher levels of activity in the amygdala in teenagers compared to adults. Hare et al. (2008) used this previous research as a starting point and hypothesized that the ventral prefrontal cortex (vPFC) assists in regulating the amygdala’s emotional response. They also considered how individual differences in the ability to regulate the amygdala could be associated with the prevalent adolescent mental health issues that Arnett (1999) described. For instance, greater habituation of the amygdala’s response was predicted to correspond with lower anxiety, and vice versa.

To test this, Hare et al. (2008) examined 60 subjects ranging from 7 to 32 years old, divided into children, adolescents, and adults. Each participant completed six runs of an emotional go/no-go task. During analysis, participants were categorized by anxiety level using the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI; Spielberger, 1983).

Results and Findings

Hare et al. (2008) found that stronger connectivity between the vPFC and the amygdala was associated with greater habituation of amygdala activity. This suggested that when the vPFC was more engaged, response time to fearful faces decreased as the amygdala's emotional response was regulated more efficiently. They also found developmental differences: adults were more efficient at regulating amygdala activity compared to adolescents, requiring less vPFC activation to achieve the same modulation. This held true even when comparing less anxious adolescents with less anxious adults.

Discussion

The *New York Times* article "Why Teenagers Act Crazy" provided a guide to understanding this relationship between the prefrontal cortex and amygdala in mediating fear responses and its application to adolescent anxiety disorders. It raised concerns similar to those motivating Hare et al.'s (2008) research, such as adolescence as a period of increased risk for anxiety and suicide. The article was clearly written for an audience of parents and young readers, normalizing adolescent anxiety while simplifying complex neurobiological mechanisms.

While the article correctly described adolescents' heightened amygdala activation, it lacked the nuance of the original paper. Hare et al. (2008) demonstrated that subjects with higher anxiety exhibited less amygdala habituation and weaker vPFC connectivity, a key detail omitted in the popular account. This "failure to habituate" underscored the neural basis for emotional vulnerability in adolescents, an important concept simplified in journalistic retelling for accessibility.

The article extended Hare et al.'s results to advocate for further research on adolescent anxiety treatments. Although effective for public communication, such extrapolation risks overinterpreting correlational findings. Still, both the research paper and the article achieved their goals: Hare et al. (2008) advanced scientific understanding through rigorous methodology, while Friedman (2014) contextualized those findings for general audiences.

Conclusion

Overall, the scientific paper and the popular article served complementary functions. The *New York Times* piece simplified without distorting the core message but could have benefited from more extensive referencing. Conversely, Hare et al. (2008) maintained methodological precision while remaining accessible to scientifically literate readers. Pop science and empirical research coexist in an essential dialogue, each shaping public understanding of neuroscience. Awareness of these boundaries promotes "neuroskepticism": a critical appreciation for how neuroscience findings are framed within cultural narratives.

References

Arain, M., et al. (2013). Maturation of the adolescent brain. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 9, 449–461. <https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S39776>

Arnett, J. J. (1999). Adolescent storm and stress, reconsidered. *American Psychologist*, 54(5), 317–326. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.54.5.317>

Ernst, M., Pine, D. S., & Hardin, M. (2006). Amygdala and nucleus accumbens in responses to receipt and omission of gains in adults and adolescents. *NeuroImage*, 25(4), 1279–1291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.12.038>

Friedman, R. (2014, June 28). Why teenagers act crazy. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2014/06/29/opinion/sunday/why-teenagers-act-crazy.html>

Hare, T. A., Tottenham, N., Galvan, A., Voss, H. U., Glover, G. H., & Casey, B. J. (2008). Biological substrates of emotional reactivity and regulation in adolescence during an emotional go/nogo task. *Biological Psychiatry*, 63(10), 927–934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.03.015>

Spielberger, C. D. (1983). *Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)*. Consulting Psychologists Press.